

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/751,081	/751,081 12/28/2000		Mike Moyer	BIS1P001	5025
26371	7590	01/08/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY &			GARG, YOGESH C		
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 3800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUK	MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308			3625	
				DATE MAILED: 01/08/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		₹					
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	09/751,081	MOYER, MIKE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yogesh C Garg	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period f r Reply	ears	orresp nd nce address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of tNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 2000.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language prov 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) t sentence of the specification or visional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National Stage d.) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/751,081

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed inventions are inoperative and therefore lacks utility. Exemplary claims 11 and 20 recite a computer program product and a system, respectively, having a plurality of elements, each in the form of computer codes and logic (for the intended functions of receiving a request, displaying the primary product, etc.) which are capable of interacting with each other but are not embedded in a structure. The system is rendered non-functional and inoperative because there is nothing in the claimed system to impart functionality to these computer codes. Note that functionality of the computer executable codes is realized only, when they are embedded in a structure and acted upon by a processor or a computing device. When claims are directed to disembodied data structure, such as claims 11 and 12, claims which are per se not statutory. C.f. In re Wamerdam.

The examiner suggests to redraft the claims to include a computer readable medium so that the claimed software in combination with a computer readable medium will be capable of producing a useful, concrete and tangible result. A claim to a computer readable medium encoded with functional descriptive material that can function with a computer to effect a practical application that results in a useful, concrete

an tangible result (i.e. running an assembly line or executing a stock transaction) satisfies Section 101. See U.S. Patent 5,710,578 to Beauregard etc. These are merely stored to be read or outputted by a computer without any functional interrelationship, and thus do not impart functionality to the computer, i.e., they are not computer components. **Examples of Non-Functional Descriptive Material: Music, Literature, Art, Photographs, Data base per se are** directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine," but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention set forth in 35 U.S.C. 101 which is drafted so as to set forth the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only. Id. at 1551.

This deficiency is also present in each of the dependent claims 12-19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-10, 11, 13-19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schachne et al. (US Pub. No: 2001/0042020 A1); hereinafter, referred to as Schachne.

Regarding claim 1, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method for conducting business over a network utilizing a graphical user interface (see at least abstract, and page 1, paragraphs 0005-0006), comprising the steps of:

- (a) receiving a request indicating a primary product or service utilizing a network (see at least FIG.3, "72—Request Product Info From Computer Site", and page 2, paragraphs 0018-0019, "FIG.3 shows a flow chart To initiate a business transaction over the network 18, the customer using the computer system 10 requests access to a web page of the seller stored on the server system 14 (step 72). In response to this request, the server system 14 transmits the requested web page to the computer system 10 (step 74).......". Note: In Schachne the step 72 of requesting product information via network 18 corresponds to the claimed limitation. "The product" is Schachne corresponds to a primary product/service.).
- (c) displaying at least one secondary product or service associated with the primary product or service utilizing the network in response the request (see at least FIG.3, "84—Make An Offer To The Customer To Conduct A Second Different Transaction", and

page 2, paragraph 0022-page 3, paragraph 0023, " an offer is presented to the customer to enter a second business transaction different from the previous business transaction (step 84). The offer can be presented by a pop-up window on the screen 30 of the computer system 10 or by a new display screen.[0023] Presenting this offer at the moment the customer intends to conclude the previous business transaction generates one more opportunity for the seller to gain a sale from the customer...... In one embodiment, the offer can involve subject matter that is related to subject matter of business transaction related to the first business transaction corresponds to displaying one secondary product or service associated with the primary product/service.).; and (d) allowing a user to select the at least one secondary product or service utilizing the network (see at least FIG.4, " 118-Accept, 122-Reject " and page 3, paragraph 0024, "...FIG. 4 shows an exemplary pop-up window 114 containing the offer 116 superimposed on the order form 48. The offer 116 can include a graphical button 118 for accepting the offer and another graphical button 122 for rejecting the offer. In another embodiment, the offer 116 can be displayed on a new screen display. ". Note: In Schachne, the step of allowing the user to accept an offer 116, which can be either that is primary or secondary, corresponds to allowing a user to select at least one secondary product or service as claimed. Also see page 3, paragraph 0025, which is directed to the concluding of secondary product/service).

Regarding claim 3, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method offering a secondary product/service to a user over a network, as analyzed and disclosed in claim 1 above. Schachne further discloses the step of linking to a check out interface in response to the selection of a check out icon on the graphical user interface, wherein

Regarding claim 4, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method offering a secondary product/service to a user over a network, as analyzed and disclosed in claim 1 above. Schachne further discloses the step of adding the primary product or service and any secondary product or service selected by the user in response to the selection of an add icon (see at least page 3, paragraphs 0024-0026, ".....The offer 116 can include a graphical button 118 for accepting the offer In another embodiment, the offer 116 can be displayed on a new screen display. [0025] Referring again to FIG. 3, when the customer accepts the offer, this concludes the second business transaction without requiring the customer to submit additional customer information or reply to any queries or make any other selections or responses (step

88). [0026] Because this process requires no further action from the customer to complete the proposed second business transaction, the relative ease of conducting business transactions may entice the customer to accept the offer, and consequently stimulates business. ". Note: In Schachne, "a graphical button 118 for accepting the offer" corresponds to the add on button as claimed. By selection of this button the system inherently adds the items selected, which include both primary and secondary because after clicking this icon the process requires no further action from the customer to complete the purchase transaction for both primary and secondary products/services selected by the consumer.).

Regarding claim 5, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method offering a secondary product/service to a user over a network, as analyzed and disclosed in claim 1 above. Schachne further discloses that the user is permitted to select the at least one secondary product or service by selecting a check box (see at least FIG.4, "118-Accept, 122-Reject" and page 3, paragraph 0024, "...FIG. 4 shows an exemplary pop-up window 114 containing the offer 116 superimposed on the order form 48. The offer 116 can include a graphical button 118 for accepting the offer and another graphical button 122 for rejecting the offer. In another embodiment, the offer 116 can be displayed on a new screen display. ". Note: In Schachne, the step of allowing the user to accept a secondary offer 116 by pressing a graphical button 118 for accepting the offer corresponds to selecting a check box as claimed because in any case the box is to be clicked to indicate selection.).

Regarding claim 6, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method

offering a secondary product/service to a user over a network, as analyzed and disclosed above in claim 1. Schachne further discloses that the user is permitted to deselect the at least one secondary product or service by selecting the check box again (see at least FIG.4, " 118-Accept, 122-Reject " and page 3, paragraph 0024, "...FIG. 4 shows an exemplary pop-up window 114 containing the offer 116 superimposed on the order form 48. The offer 116 can include a graphical button 118 for accepting the offer and another graphical button 122 for rejecting the offer. In another embodiment, the offer 116 can be displayed on a new screen display. " . Note: In Schachne, the step of allowing the user to reject a secondary offer 116 by pressing a graphical button 122 again for rejecting the offer corresponds to deselecting the offer 116 for secondary product/service).

Regarding claim 7, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method offering a secondary product/service to a user over a network, as analyzed and disclosed in claim 1 above. Schachne further discloses the step of linking to an additional graphical user interface featuring the secondary products or services upon the selection of an icon indicative of the secondary products or services (see at least page 3, paragraph 0025, "..........Referring again to FIG. 3, when the customer accepts the offer, this concludes the second business transaction If the offer originated from a server system other than the server system 14, then the computer system 10 can communicate the acceptance of the customer and the customer information with that other server system 16, directly or via the server system 14, and that other server system 16 can use the customer information to conclude the second business transaction. ". Note: It was already analyzed above that the user indicates his acceptance of the offer for secondary products/services by selecting and clicking

graphical button 122 in claim 5 above. Here, in paragraph 0025, Schachne discloses that the acceptance of the offer for the secondary product/service is communicated to another server system 16 via existing server system 14 corresponds to linking to an additional graphical user interface featuring the secondary products/services on the other server 16.).

Regarding claim 8, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method offering and displaying a secondary product/service related to a primary product/service to a user over a network, as analyzed and disclosed in claim 1 above. Schachne. further, shows that the step of displaying a plurality of additional primary products or services related to the primary products or services on the graphical user interface (see at least page 2, paragraph 0015, "In this embodiment, the web pages stored on the server system 14 include a plurality of web pages designed by a business association that advertise business offerings (e.g., products and services) of the business association. Some of these web pages are designed to conduct business transactions so that potential customers using remote computer systems, such as the computer system 10, can access these web pages, browse the business offerings, and submit purchase orders across the network 18. A business transaction includes taking by sale, lease, premium, gift, or any other voluntary transaction between a potential customer and a business association that gives the customer an interest in a business offering of the business association. ". Note: Here, Schachne discloses that the system offers a plurality of products/services for sale and therefore. keeping in view the teachings of claim 1, Schachne can display a plurality of additional primary products or services related to the primary products or services on the graphical user interface.).

Regarding claim 9, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method offering and displaying a plurality of additional primary products or services related to the primary products or services on the graphical user interface as analyzed and disclosed in claim 8 above. Schachne further suggests linking to an additional graphical user interface featuring the additional primary products or services upon the selection of an icon indicative of the additional primary products or services (see at least page 3. paragraphs 0024-0025, " FIG. 4 shows an exemplary pop-up window 114 containing the offer 116 superimposed on the order form 48. The offer 116 can include a graphical button 118 for accepting the offer In another embodiment, the offer 116 can be displayed on a new screen display. [0025] Referring again to FIG. 3, when the customer accepts the offer...... The computer system 10 signals the server system 14 of the acceptance, and transmits the customer information, if not previously transmitted. If the offer originated from a server system other than the server system 14, then the computer system 10 can communicate the acceptance of the customer and the customer information with that other server system 16, directly or via the server system 14, and that other server system 16 can use the customer information to conclude the second business transaction. ". Note: In Schachne, accepting the offer by clicking the graphical button 118 corresponds to selection of an icon indicative of the additional primary products/services and linking to another server system 16 via existing server system 14 corresponds to linking to an additional graphical user interface featuring the additional primary products or services. The secondary business transaction, in Schachne, relates to additional primary products/services, see at least paragraph page 3, paragraph 0023, "In another embodiment, the subject matter of the offer can be unrelated to the subject matter of the previous business transaction. A different business association than the business association of the previous business transaction may be presenting the offer. ". When the offer for products/services is not

related to the earlier product then the offer constitutes for additional primary products/services.).

Regarding claim 10, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method offering and displaying a secondary product/service related to a primary product/service to a user over a network, as analyzed and disclosed in claim 1 above. Schachne. further, shows that the secondary products or services are intended to be used with the primary products or services (see at least page 3, paragraph 0023, ".......Presenting this offer at the moment the customer intends to conclude the previous business transaction generates one more opportunity for the seller to gain a sale from the customer, particularly if the offer brings to the attention of the customer an item of potential or known interest that may have been overlooked. In one embodiment, the offer can involve subject matter that is related to subject matter of the previous business transaction. For example, if the previous business transaction is a purchase of a certain book, then the second, different business transaction can be for the purchase of another book by the same author or on the same topic...... ". Note: In Schachne, the previous business transaction corresponds to primary products/services and second, different business transaction corresponds to the secondary products/services related to the primary products/services. In the illustrated example Schachne, discloses that the secondary offer for a book is also related to the same topic as the previous book and thus the user using the previously purchased book will also use/consult/read the second book as it is related to the same topic.).

Application/Control Number: 09/751,081 Page 12

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding claim 11, all the intended functions of the computer program product code are directed to the same functions as recited in the steps of method claim 1.

Therefore, claim 11 is rejected as anticipated by Schachne on the basis of same rational as discussed for claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 13-19, all the intended functions of the computer program product codes are directed to the same functions as recited in the steps of method claims 3-9. Therefore, claims 13-19 are rejected as anticipated by Schachne on the basis of same rational as discussed for claims 3-9 above.

Regarding claim 20, all the intended functions of the claimed system are directed to the same functions as recited in the steps of method claim 1. Therefore, claim 20 is rejected as anticipated by Schachne on the basis of same rational as used for claim 1 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schachne and in view of Sparks et al. (US Patent 6,167,382), hereinafter, referred to as Sparks.

Regarding claim 2, Schachne teaches a computer-implemented method offering and displaying primary and secondary products/services to a user over a network, as analyzed and disclosed in claim 1 above.

Schachne does not disclose the step of linking to a shopping cart interface in response to the selection of a shopping cart icon on the graphical user interface, wherein the shopping cart interface lists the primary product or service and any secondary product or service selected by the user for reviewing the primary and secondary products or services prior to purchase. However, in the field of same endeavor, that is electronic commerce, Sparks teaches the step of linking to a shopping cart interface in response to the selection of a shopping cart icon on the graphical user interface, wherein the shopping cart interface lists the primary product or service and any secondary product or service selected by the user for reviewing the primary and secondary products or services prior to purchase (see at least FIG.3, "80-cart" and col.5, lines 48-49, "...placing retrieved images in a "shopping cart" for purchase, by clicking on button 80". Note: In Sparks, clicking on button 80 corresponds to the step of linking to a shopping cart icon in response to the selection of a shopping cart icon on the graphical user interface as claimed. Further, placing the retrieved images in the "shopping cart"

for purchase corresponds to listing the purchased products, both primary and secondary before purchase, as claimed.).

In view of Sparks, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Schachne to incorporate the concept of Sparks of listing the purchased items in the shopping cart by clicking a shopping cart icon because it was well-known at the time of the applicant's invention to use shopping cart while buying products/services on-line to hold/list the purchased items just the same way a consumer does physically when making purchases in a departmental store and holding a physical cart before making the final check-out so that a person could add or delete some of the listed items before making a payment.

Regarding claim 12, all the intended functions of the computer program product codes are directed to the same functions as recited in the steps of method claim 2.

Therefore, claim 12 is rejected as being unpatentable over Schachne and further in view of Sparks on the basis of same rational as discussed for claim 2 above.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (i) US Patent 6,574,606 to Bell et al. discloses a method and a system for cross-marketing products/services to customers on the Internet (see at least abstract).

- (ii) US Patent 6,629,079 B1 to Spiegel et al. and US Pub.No: 2002/0143637 A1 to Shmueli et al. disclose the sue of shopping carts in conducting purchases from merchant web sites on Internet.
- (iii) US Patent 6,196,458 B1 to Walker et al. teaches offering upsell offers with the purchases of predetermined products (see at least abstract).
- (iv) WO 00/67104 to Shupe et al. discloses an e-commerce method and system for conducting purchases from a merchants' web site using a shopping cart (see at least abstract).
- (v) Songini, Marc L; "FedEx expects CRM system to deliver"; Computerworld.

 Framingham: Nov 6, 2000; Vol:34; Iss 45; pg.10, 1 pgs, extracted on Internet from
 http://proquest.umi.com on 12/11/2003 and Business/Technology Editors; "

 Essential.com to Patent New Internet Marketing System"; Business/Technology Editors:
 Business Wire: New York; Oct 5, 1999; Pg.1 extracted on Internet from
 http://proquest.umi.com on 12/11/2003 teaches cross-selling and upselling products on
 Internet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C Garg whose telephone number is 703-306-0252. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn W Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Yogesh C Garg Examiner

Art Unit 3625

YCG

December 23, 2003